The only direct mention in the New Testament of the idea of “stand[ing] in the holy place” is found in the book of Matthew. Here, as part of Jesus’ prophecy to His disciples about the woes that would befall Jerusalem, He gave one of the most controversial prophecies of the New Testament: “When ye therefore shall see the abomination of desolation… stand in the holy place… Then let them which be in Judea flee into the mountains:” However, in related verses in the Joseph Smith Translation of the Bible and in the Doctrine and Covenants, the sense of this phrase is turned upside down. Rather than describing how the “abomination of desolation” will “stand in the holy place,”12 the JST version enjoins the apostles to “stand in the holy place”13 when the “abomination of desolation” appears so they can be saved. It is easy to see how, on the face of it, some might conclude that Joseph Smith’s translation was an obvious and embarrassing mistake, based on the his ignorance of the Greek text of the New Testament. Today, however, my purpose is to advance an alternative claim: namely, that in the scriptural word picture of the righteous standing in holy places, Joseph Smith has recovered the essence of a potent metaphor from the heart of ancient Judaism and early Christianity.

**Adam and Eve’s Standing in Eden.** Jewish accounts of Adam’s creation specifically highlight his first experience after being filled with the breath of life:14 namely, the moment when God “stood him on his legs.”5 According to Zornberg,6 it is in the ability to stand in the presence of God that one specifically demonstrates the attainment of full “majesty and strength.”

Medieval artistic convention makes it clear that Christ was imagined as raising the dead to eternal life by the same gesture that was used to create Adam and stand him on his feet.7 Likewise, we note the Old Testament liturgical formula that nearly always follows descriptions of miraculous revivals of the dead with the observation that they “stood up upon their feet.”8 It is by being raised by the hand to the upright position that we are made ready to hear the word of the Lord. It is no mere coincidence that before heavenly messengers can perform their errands to Ezekiel,9 Daniel,10 Paul,11 and Alma the Younger,12 they must first command these seers to stand on their feet.13 As biblical scholar Robert Hayward has said: “You stand in the temple,”14 you stand before the Lord,15 you pray standing up16—you can’t approach God on all fours like an animal. If you can stand, you can serve God in His temple.”17 If you are stained with sin, you cannot stand in His presence.18

Jewish writings tell of how Adam lost the divine ability to stand through his taking of the fruit of the Tree of Knowledge. For example, in an account that plays on the nuances of Hebrew terms for standing, we read: “Before the sin, Adam could “hear God speaking and stand on his legs… he could withstand it.”19… In another midrash, God says, “Woe Adam! Could you not stand in your commandment for even one hour?”

After the Fall, Adam and Eve sorrowed over the loss of the fruit trees of Eden as the source of mankind’s food (whether meant literally or figuratively)—leaving them nothing besides “the herb of the field” to eat. Rabbinical and early Christian writings saw Adam and Eve’s loss of their paradisiacal food as part of a humiliating penance, to a degree in the likeness of Nebuchadnezzar’s abasement to a beastlike state.20 Although to be banished from the Garden of Eden “is to lose a particular standing ground,”21 it was always God’s intention to restore Adam and Eve and their posterity to their former glory.22 Succinctly expressing the hopelessness of Adam’s predicament in the absence of God’s “remedy,”23 midrash states: “If it were not for Your mercy, Adam would have had no standing amidah.”24

**Israel’s Failure to Stand at Sinai.** Israel proved themselves unready to accept the fulness of God’s law at Sinai.25 They preferred that Moses go alone to ascend the holy mountain, while they stayed at its foot.26 Painting a vivid word picture of how the Israelites were unable to stand in the divine presence, Rashi explains that when they heard the sound of the voice of God emanate from Sinai “they moved backwards and stood at a distance: they were repelled to the rear a distance of twelve miles—that is the whole length of the camp. Then the angels came and helped them forward again.” Zornberg reasons: “If this happened at each of the Ten Commandments, the people are imagined as traveling 240 distance: they were repelled to the divine presence, Israel’s Failure to Stand at Sinai”26 or, “If it were not for Your mercy, Adam would have had no standing amidah.”27

**The Measure of the Stature of the Fulness of Christ.** Only those who are of a perfect spiritual stature are qualified to stand in the presence of God. In describing the essential qualities the youthful Jesus matured as he grew to manhood, Luke states that He “increased in wisdom and stature.”28 In their strivings to become like their Lord, Paul instructed his readers to attain such “a knowledge of the Son of God” that would enable them also to become “perfect… unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ.”29 This supreme objective, of course, could not be accomplished without divine help, for “[w]hich of you by taking thought,” Jesus rhetorically asked in the Sermon the Mount, “can add one cubit unto his stature?”30

The idea of the disciples adding cubit to cubit until they measured up to the perfection of Christ in stature would have been recognized by early Christians as an analogy to the process of temple building.31 The temple, like the disciple, was required to conform to the exact measures revealed by God.32 Recall, for example, how the dimensions of each aspect of the Israelite Tabernacle were described in minute detail to Moses;33 and how Ezekiel34 witnessed the careful measurement of his visionary temple. A similar motif of measurement of the temple precincts occurs in the book of Revelation,35 in a passage to which we will later return.

In the Investiture Panel at Mari from Old Babylon,36 one is also struck by the significant role played by measurement in the planning and construction of temples and palaces. As emblems that symbolically conjoin the acts of measurement in laying the foundations of sacred buildings and the processes of cosmic creation, one sees the Mesopotamian rod and ring. These two instruments of the rod and ring functioned essentially as a “yardstick” and a “tape measure,”37 and can be profitably compared to the “measuring reed” and “line of flax” of Ezekiel,38 as well as to the analogous cosmic surveying instruments of the square and the compass.39 Consistent with the general biblical symbolism, the Mesopotamian measuring devices also served as visual metaphors for the personal righteousness of those who were made kings.40 These kings, like the early Christians addressed by Jesus and Paul, were expected to “measure up” to their high and holy callings.

We return to Jesus’ question: “[w]hich of you by taking thought can add one cubit unto his stature?”41 “No one,” writes BYU scholar Jack Welch, “would be presumptuous enough to add a single cubit to any part of the temple.”42 Neither, I would add, would individuals aspiring to conform to “the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ”43 presume to improve upon the dimensions of His perfection.

**The Fall of the Temple Guards at Jesus’ Arrest.** Nowhere is the contrast between the perfect stature of the Christ and that of His slouching enemies so clearly shown than in John’s account of Jesus’ arrest. While Matthew, Mark, and Luke’s accounts highlight the perfidy of Judas as the one who...
identified his Master to the temple guards, the gospel of John emphasizes Christ’s mastery of the situation by omitting the kiss of Judas from its narrative, suggesting that ‘Judas’ task of identifying Jesus had been taken out of his hands.50 Instead, at that moment, Jesus is shown in full control of the arresting party by His startling self-identification:51 “I am He” — knowing all things that should come upon him, went forth, and said unto them, Whom seek ye? They answered him, Jesus of Nazareth. Jesus saith unto them, I am he... As soon then as he had said unto them, I am he, they went backward, and fell to the ground.”

The King James translation of the Greek phrase ego eimi as “I am he” obscures an essential detail. In reality, Jesus has not said, “I am he;” but rather “I AM,” using a divine name that directly identifies Him as being Jehovah.52 Thus, asserts Raymond E. Brown, it is clear that the fall of the temple guards is no mere slapstick scene that might be “explained away or trivialized. To know or use the divine name, as Jesus does [in replying with ‘I AM’], is an exercise of awesome power.”53

This event is nothing more nor less than a replay of the scene of the children of Israel at Sinai discussed earlier.54 In effect, in the gospel of John, we are reading an eyewitness report of a solemn revelation to the band of arresting Jewish temple guards55 that they were standing, as it were, in a “Holy of Holies” made sacred by the presence of the embodied Jehovah, and that they, with full comprehension of the irony of their pernicious intent, were about to do harm to the very Master of the Lord’s House, whose precincts they had been sworn to protect. As with the Israelites at Sinai who were unworthy and thus unable to stand in the holy place, “those of the dark world fell back, repelled by the presence of the Light of the world.”56

To delve further into the symbolism of the scene, note that the Jews were generally prohibited from pronouncing the divine name, Jehovah.57 As an exception, that Name was solemnly pronounced by the High Priest standing in the most holy place of the temple once a year, on the Day of Atonement. Upon the hearing of that Name, according to the Mishnah, all the people were to fall on their faces.58 Was it any coincidence, then, that Jesus Christ, the supernal High Priest after the order of Melchizedek,59 boldly proclaimed His identity as the great ‘I AM’ at the very place and on the very night He atoned for the sins of the world? Interestingly, the temple guards who failed to fall on their faces at the sound of the divine Name were instead thrown on their backs in awestruck impotence.

**Standing in Holy Places in the Last Days.** Though there are many references in modern scripture to the general idea of standing in righteousness, each of the three instances of specific instructions for the faithful to stand in holy places appear in apocalyptic descriptions of the latter-day gathering and the destruction that will precede the Savior’s Second Coming.60

First, in Doctrine and Covenants 45, an overt expansion on the instructions and prophecies given to the apostles on the Mount of Olives, we are told:61 “And there shall be men standing in that generation [i.e., in the last days], that shall not pass until they shall see an overflowing scourge; for a desolating sickness shall cover the land. But my disciples shall stand in holy places and shall not be moved; but among the wicked, men shall lift up their voices and curse God and die... And it shall be said among the wicked: Let us not go up to battle against Zion, for the inhabitants of Zion are terrible; wherefore we cannot stand.... For when the Lord shall appear he shall be terrible unto them, that fear may seize upon them, and they shall stand afar off and tremble.” Note that modern scripture is perfectly consistent with the subtle imagery of the biblical examples cited earlier. A contrast is drawn between the disciples, who “stand in holy places” and are “not moved,” and the wicked, who “stand afar off and tremble.”62

Another Doctrine and Covenants reference tells us that the earth itself will also tremble, and “men shall fall upon the ground and shall not be able to stand.”63

The second Doctrine and Covenants reference standing to holy places is found in section 101, as part of the revelation and prophecy on the wars and disasters that will eventually “make a full end of all nations.”64 Here, the Saints are told:65 “Wherefore, stand ye in holy places, and be not moved, until the day of the Lord come; for behold, it cometh quickly, saith the Lord. Amen.”

The final specific mention of this phrase is in section 101, in a revelation responding to the Saints being driven from their homes in Jackson County, Missouri. The following verses assure the Saints that, despite their forcible ejection from the place where they had begun to build the city of New Jerusalem, it will not be moved, but rather will continue as the central gathering place from which Zion will eventually extend herself to fill the earth.66 “Zion shall not be moved out of her place, notwithstanding her children are scattered... And behold, there is none other place appointed... for the work of the gathering of my saints— Until... there is found no more room for them; and then I have other places which I will appoint unto them, and they shall be called stakes, for the curtains or strength of Zion. Behold it is my will, that all they who call on my name... should gather together, and stand in holy places; And prepare for the revelation... when... all flesh shall see me together.”

The Saints in Joseph Smith’s time would have understood the term “holy places” in section 101 as the current and future stakes to which they were being gathered both spiritually and physically. Each one of these stakes was originally intended to feature its own temple as a focal point for the community. Borrowing vivid word pictures from the book of Isaiah,67 the Doctrine and Covenants describes the kingdom of God as a tent whose expanse increases continually outward from its “center place”68 through the establishment of “stakes, for the curtains or strength of Zion.”69

At the time section 101 was received, the “center place” of the tent would have been understood as Jackson County, Missouri, the intended location of the New Jerusalem, and the ever expanding curtains of the tent would have represented the growing number of outlying stakes70 that were eventually destined to span the whole earth—and, ultimately, to unite in perfect reflection with their counterparts in heaven, as depicted visually in this stunning landscape by Linda McCarthy. The revelations make it clear that it is “in Zion, and in her stakes, and in Jerusalem” that are to be found “those places which [God has] appointed for refuge.”71 God’s whole purpose is to draw the people of the world to such places of safety, the express purpose of the Church being “for the gathering of his saints to stand upon Mount Zion.”72

**A Second Abomination of Desolation in the Last Days.** Having considered what it means to “stand in holy places” in the last days with respect to the New Jerusalem, we return to Jesus’ prophecies about old Jerusalem. In addition to the first “abomination of desolation” that was to occur within the lifetime of the apostles, the Joseph Smith Translation of Matthew 24 predicts a second “abomination of desolation.”73 “And again, in the last days, the abomination of desolation, spoken of by Daniel the prophet, will be fulfilled.” To understand the events associated with this verse, we must revisit the theme of measurement—in this case the measurement of the temple described in chapter 11 of the book of Revelation.

Chapter 11 opens with the angel’s instruction to John to “measure the temple of God, and the altar, and them that worship therein.”74 By way of contrast, John is told not to measure the areas lying outside the temple complex proper—in other words, the outer courtyard. In the context of the rest of the chapter, the meaning of the angel’s instructions is clear: only those who are standing within the scope of John’s measure—in other words, within the temple—will receive God’s protection.75 Of course, we are not speaking here of the measurement of a literal physical structure, but rather of measuring or judging of the people who have been called to form the living temple of God,76 each individual in his or her differing degree of righteousness.77 Spiritually speaking, the worshippers standing in the holy place are those who have kept their covenants.78 These are they who, according to Revelation 14:1, will stand with the Lamb “on… mount Zion.” By way of contrast, all individuals standing in the outer courtyard, being
unmeasured and unprotected, will be, in the words of the book of Revelation, “given unto the Gentiles” to be “tread under foot”79 with the rest of the wicked in Jerusalem.

Ultimately, we read in section 101, “every corruptible thing... that dwells upon all the face of the earth... shall be consumed.”80 By “every corruptible thing” the verse means every being that is of a terrestrial nature. Only those who can withstand dwelling in at least a terrestrial glory will remain on the earth during the millennial reign of Christ. In that day, only those who remain unmoved in the holy place will be able to “stand still, with the utmost assurance to see the salvation of God.”81

In summary, where are the “holy places” in which we are to stand? In light of everything discussed today, the frequently-heard suggestion that such “holy places” include temples, stakes, chapels, and homes seems wholly appropriate.82 However, it should be remembered that what makes these places holy—and secure—are the covenants kept by those standing together. According to midrash, Sodom itself could have been a place of safety had there been a circle of as few as ten righteous individuals in the city to “pray on behalf of all of them.”83

Personal Observations. Many years ago, when I learned how to ski, I was taught that the first thing I needed to know was how to fall. In skiing, as in life, falling is an unavoidable if unpleasant prelude to eventual mastery of the slopes. Zomberg insightfully summarizes this lesson from Jewish tradition: “The Talmud makes an extraordinary observation about the paradoxes of “standing”: “No man stands on [i.e., can rightly under-stand] the words of Torah, unless he has stumbled over them.”84 To discover firm standing ground, it is necessary to explore, to stumble, even to fall...”85

In our repeated falls, we should be reassured in the knowledge that, like the Israelites at Sinai, we can receive help from “angels” appointed to assist our journey from the foothills of the sacred mountain and back into God’s presence at the summit.86 Such a scene is depicted above, where the fallen Abraham gratefully testified that the Angel Yahweh “took [him] by [his] right hand and stood [him] on [his] feet.”87 Through the ordinances of the priesthood, each of us may be given the knowledge and power to rise from our falls and stand in safety in the holy place.

The continual challenges endemic in the life of a disciple should teach us something about the nature of “standing” itself; namely, that what might appear to the naïve as a “static position” will, with experience, eventually be better understood as “a point of equilibrium in the eye of a storm.”88 Lest anyone think that living a life of continual standing in the presence of God is a “heavy, humdrum, and safe” affair, I close with the words of G. K. Chesterton, who understood that the essence of discipleship is to maintain:

… the equilibrium of a man behind madly rushing horses, seeming to stoop this way and to sway that, yet in every attitude having the grace of statuary and the accuracy of arithmetic... It is always simple to fall; there are infinity of angles at which one falls, only one at which one stands.89
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