Though the event is rarely mentioned in modern Christmas celebrations, traditional carols often give as much attention to the Fall of Adam as they do to the birth and redemption of Christ. For example, after describing the creation of Adam and Eve, the English carol “This is the truth sent from above” continues:

3. Then after that ’twas God’s own choice
   To place them both in paradise
   There to remain from evil free
   Except they ate of such a tree.

4. But they did eat, which was a sin,
   And thus their ruin did begin—
   Ruined themselves, both you and me,
   And all of our posterity.

5. Thus we were heirs to endless woes
Till God the Lord did interpose
And so a promise soon did run:
That he’d redeem us by his Son.

Though, of course, Latter-day Saints have a much more positive view of the Fall and reject the traditional conception of “original sin,” such carols can still help us to humbly experience the spirit of gratitude for our redemption through Christ that is shared by all Christians.

The Bond of Adam

The first line of a fifteenth-century Christmas carol reads: “Adam lay ybounden / Bounden in a bond.” The imagery of a “bond” comes as part of a long Christian tradition of stories about a series of unsuccessful attempts of Satan to deceive Adam and Eve, who become increasingly immune to his wiles through the knowledge and protective power provided by angelic teachings, covenants, and ordinances. One particular episode, the story of the cheirograph [i.e., contract, from Greek cheiro (hand) + graph (writing)] is illustrated at left above. At right is an illustration from Elder Boyd K. Packer’s story, The Mediator, which echoes some similar themes.

The story of the Cheirograph begins when the Devil claims ownership of the earth and accuses Adam of trespassing. In the image, part of the unique iconographical programme...
of four churches in North Moldavia, the robed Adam kneels before the naked Satan and “dutifully signs a bond of indebtedness that deeds over to [the ‘Lord of the Earth’ himself and] all his offspring.” Satan’s plan fails, of course, because—as Adam knows—God is the true “Lord of the earth.”

A related sense of cheirograph is found in Colossians 2:14, where the Greek term should be translated “‘bond’ or ‘bill of indebtedness’ but not ‘contract.’ The text does not mention Satan or Adam and seems to say that at the Crucifixion, Christ erased the bill of indebtedness that humans had incurred by sinning and nailed it to the Cross. In other words, just as in Roman times cancelled bills were nailed up for display to publicly announce that the charges had been paid, so Christ was nailed to the cross to make it known to all that He had satisfied mankind’s debt of sin.

Symbolically, the handwritten bond of justice was annulled by the “writing” of the nails in the pierced hands of the Savior—Christ’s cheirograph of mercy. Likewise, the contractual cheirograph, portrayed in pseudepigraphal accounts as having been unscrupulously procured by Satan from Adam and his posterity, will hold no sway over the righteous who fully accept and qualify for the covenants required of them by the redeeming Lord, who provided Himself as a substitutionary sacrifice.

The Cherry Tree
Another traditional carol, called “The Cherry Tree,” describes an incident that is supposed to have occurred during Joseph and Mary’s journey to Bethlehem. The illustration shows a group of angels above the couple, bending down the trunk of a fruit tree and plucking its fruit:

3. Joseph and Mary walked through an orchard good,  
   Where was cherries and berries, so read as any blood…

5. O then bespoke Mary, so meek and so mild:  
   “Pluck me a cherry, Joseph; they run so in my mind.

6. O then bespoke Joseph, with words most reviled:  
   “Let him pluck thee a cherry that brought thee with child.”

7. O then bespoke Jesus, all in his mother’s womb:  
   “Bow down, then, thou tallest tree, for my mother to have some!”

The lesson of this fanciful story is clear: Joseph (and any contemporary hearers of this carol) are to quell any doubts they may have about the divine patrimony of Jesus. In its obedience to the not-yet-born Jesus, the cherry tree becomes nature’s witness to innocence and purity of His mother.

The image of the orchard offering its fruit to Mary becomes even more fitting in light of evidence that the branch from which she plucks belongs to no ordinary tree. Symbolically, it is the Tree of Life, with the original palm tree having been changed to a cherry tree. Mary, as the new and perfect “Eve,” is being shown as worthy to partake of the Tree of Life.

It is true that Adam and Eve’s departure from the Garden of Eden barred them and their posterity from the Tree of Life. However, a rabbinic tradition has it that the last divine word that rang in the ears of Adam and Eve as they left the Garden of Eden was tashub, meaning “You shall return!” At last, when men and women are fully prepared, they will be able to truly “stand in holy places and be not moved.”

**The Return of Jesus Christ**

It is the testimony of scripture that as surely as Jesus came once as a babe in Bethlehem, He shall come again a second time to the earth. Hence, just as Latter-day Saints at Easter time add the symbol of the empty tomb to that of the cross, so at Christmas time, we should not think it inappropriate to hang a painting of his coming in glory next to the manger scene. When we carol, let us sing not only *Silent Night*, but also *The Day Dawn is Breaking*; to *O Come All Ye Faithful* let us add *Come O Thou King of Kings*; with *I Wonder as I Wander*, we should sing *I Wonder When He Comes Again*. 
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